

FACCC Legislation & Budget Committee Meeting Notes

December 4, 2023 5:00 – 6:00 pm

Attending: Wendy Brill-Wynkoop, Joshua Christ, Ruby Christian-Brougham, Libby Cook, Michelle Haggerty, Emily Haraldson, Daniel Judge, Oranit Limmaneeprasert, Anna Mathews, Bob Stockwell and Sarah Thompson Absent:

Capital and Political Funding

- Sarah Thompson discussed the challenges of capital and political funding and potential legislative solutions.
- Libby Cook agreed to contact other categorical programs for insights.

Communication and Assignments

• Michelle Haggerty requested clarification about an earlier statement.

Position Paper Introduction

- Sarah Thompson introduced the position paper, overviewed the California Community Colleges' revenue streams before the Student-Centered Funding Formula (SCIF) implementation, and the issues with Full-Time Equivalent Student (FTES) allocation.
- Plans to address operationalization of the SCIF were announced.

Full-Time Equivalent Students (FTES) Funding

- Another meeting in December was decided on due to sections being unprepared by several participants.
- Daniel Judge proposed aligning funding priorities based on student profiles, focusing on typical students (median age: 22, female: 54%, Hispanic/non-Hispanic white: 72%).
- Ruby Christian-Brougham suggested using cost of living indices across California to address students' socioeconomic challenges.
- Daniel Judge was assigned the task of collating the data for the section.

Meeting Outcomes and Plans

Wendy Brill-Wynkoop agreed to convert the developed Word document to Google Doc.

• A deadline of **January 9th** was set to finalize the document for an A&P meeting and board approval in late January.

Population-Informed Public Policy Institute (PPIC) Report & Full-Time Equivalent Students (FTES)

- Sarah Thompson discussed the PPIC report's reflection of poverty rates across California's districts (15-20%) if cost of living is accounted for.
- Joshua Christ questioned the implications of redefining the full-time equivalent from **15 FTES** to possibly **8-9 FTES** in terms of funding for students.
- Daniel Judge and Sarah Thompson agreed on the need for a strong counter-argument on this matter.

Challenges in Educating Community College Students & Budget Formula

- Daniel Judge highlighted the challenges and increased funds required to educate typical students.
- The group discussed the additional revenue required to operate tutoring labs, libraries, and counseling services.

Equity and Efficiency in Education

 Sarah Thompson suggested that the current policies aiming for efficiency may not align with the commitment to equity.

Data Centralization and Communication

- All were requested to send their resources and data to Wendy Brill-Wynkoop.
- Sarah Thompson agreed to align with this new central centralization effort.

Addressing Equity Challenges & Budget

- Oranit Limmaneeprasert suggested interconnecting the issues of economic challenges and racial and ethnic equity within the conversation.
- The need to realign California's budget priorities was discussed between Bob Stockwell and Anna Mathews (FACCC).
- Ruby Christian-Brougham compared the financial aid amounts given to community college students and those in four-year institutions.

A&P Conference Structure and Meeting Schedule

- Bob Stockwell proposed discussing these topics during the A&P conference.
- The next meeting was scheduled from 4:30 to 6:30 PM next Monday.

Follow-Up Actions and Meeting Documentation

 Wendy Brill-Wynkoop offered to prepare the materials received from the team members in a Google Doc.

Final Discussions and Departure Remarks

• Final discussions were held between Sarah Thompson and Bob Stockwell on AI and its effects on education and budget advocacy strategies.

•	The next meeting on December 21 was confirmed by Sarah Thompson, Wendy Brill-Wynkoop, Ruby Christian-Brougham, Emily Haraldson, and Bob Stockwell.